Fluorescence Quenching of Graphene Oxide Integrating with the Site-Specific Cleavage of the Endonuclease for Sensitive and Selective MicroRNA Detection
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ABSTRACT: A considerable effort is currently focused on identifying microRNA (miRNA) biomarkers because they could serve for early disease diagnosis as well as for assessing the prognosis and monitoring the response to treatment. The efficient use of the biomarkers requires precise analysis of miRNAs. This work reports a rapid, sensitive, and selective miRNA assay by coupling the fluorescence quenching of graphene oxide (GO) with site-specific cleavage of an endonuclease. The method is developed by designing a single-stranded probe that carries both a binding region responsible for the interaction with GO, which induces fluorescence quenching of the 3′-terminus-labeled fluorophore (FAM, 6-carboxyfluorescein), and a sensing region for specifically recognizing the target and hybridizing with it to form a duplex. The duplex is released from the GO surface through cleavage of Rsal endonuclease, resulting in fluorescence recovery, which shows a trend in the target concentration. The assay can detect down to ~3.0 fm miR-126 with a linear range of 4 orders of magnitude and has an ability to discriminate the target sequence from even single-base mismatched sequence or other miRNA sequences. Moreover, it can also be used for estimating the miR-126 expressions in cells. The advantage of this assay is that it operates via the detection of the recovered fluorescence signal, which is a combined result of the specific hybridization and the site-specific cleavage, and thus should be impervious to false signals arising due to the nonspecific adsorption of interferants. It could be a great potential tool for selective analysis of miRNAs in cells.

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are a family of small noncoding 18–25 nucleotide long RNAs expressed in many organisms including animals, plants, and viruses.1,2 In these organisms, miRNAs regulate the gene expression by complementary binding to the 3′-untranslated regions of their target mRNAs that either leads to mRNA degradation or translational repression, depending on the degree of complementary sequences between the miRNAs and their targets.3,4 Recent studies have showed that miRNAs also play important roles in a wide range of physiological and pathological events (including cell development, differentiation, metabolism, and apoptosis)5,6 and cancer development and progression in particular.7–11 Cancer-specific miRNA fingerprints have been identified in many types of analyzed cancers (including breast carcinoma, primary glioblastoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, and lung cancer).9,12–14 The unique patterns of miRNA expression in cancer cells could serve as a diagnostic fingerprint.12,14 Therefore, miRNAs may be good biomarkers for diagnosis, prognosis, and prediction of cancer, and they may even be targets for new drug discovery.14–16

The efficient use of a miRNA biomarker requires precise analysis of miRNAs. However, small size, high sequence homology among family members, low abundance, susceptibility to degradation, and common secondary structures of miRNAs have complicated efforts to develop accurate, unbiased quantification techniques.17–20 The most popular and well-established miRNA profiling methods are adapted from traditional nucleic acid analysis techniques. Northern blotting,21 microarray analysis,22 and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)23,24 are currently widely used standard methods for miRNA analysis. However, these methods have some limitations.25 For example, the northern blotting assay is time-consuming with moderate sensitivity and low throughput and usually requires large amounts of samples (often more than 1 μg of total RNA), which limits its application in clinical diagnosis.26 Microarray analysis requires preamplification, which yields significant sequence bias, and suffers from cross hybridization and poor reproducibility.27 Although qRT-PCR has the advantages of practical ease, high sensitivity, and accuracy, the short length of miRNA

Received: December 26, 2012
Accepted: January 15, 2013
Published: January 15, 2013
sophisticates the design of the primers, for example, the stem-loop primer and the locked nucleic acid (LNA)-modified primer, increasing the experimental cost and complexity. Moreover, qRT-PCR requires the precise control of temperature cycling for successful amplification, which increases the analysis difficulty. In addition, these assay technologies are expensive and require well-trained scientists.

Recently, several new miRNA detection methods based on integration of the unique optical, electronic, and catalytic characteristics of nanomaterials with highly specific recognition ability of biomolecules were reported. However, some of these methods require a chemical or enzymatic modification of target miRNA prior to the analysis or the application of LNAs as capture probes owing to the short length of miRNAs. Modifications make the analysis cumbersome and lead to reduced accuracy due to different efficiencies of modifications for different miRNAs.

Novel and improved techniques for detection and quantification of miRNAs are currently essential to unravel the functions and modes of actions of these small molecules and are therefore urgently needed. This work reports a rapid, sensitive, and selective miRNA assay by coupling the fluorescence quenching graphene oxide (GO) with site-specific cleavage of an endonuclease for improving selectivity (Figure 1). We designed a single-stranded probe DNA that carries both a binding region (44 bases) and a sensing region (22 bases). The binding region provides an anchoring function to facilitate the interaction between GO and the probe, inducing fluorescence quenching of the 5′-terminus-labeled fluorophore (FAM, 6-carboxyfluorescein). The sensing region specifically recognizes the target miRNA (miR-126); we selected miR-126 as the assay target due to its importance in preventing growth of many cancers, such as primary bladder, prostate, colorectal, gastric, human breast, and lung cancers. In addition, the expression and roles of miR-126 may be different in various malignancies, and therefore, it can be used as a biomarker for many cancers. The expression and roles of miR-126 may be different in various malignancies, and therefore, it can be used as a biomarker for many cancers. In the absence of a specific target, no fluorescence signal is detected. In the presence of a miRNA target, however, the formed duplex is subject to be released from the GO surface under the cleavage of RsaI endonuclease, resulting in the recovery of fluorescence of the fluorophore and producing a readily detectable signal. The degree of recovery of the fluorescence signal shows a trend in target miRNA concentration in solution, which establishes the basis of the quantitative detection of miRNA. The extraordinarily high quenching efficiency of GO (resulting in a high signal-to-background ratio) and the high site-specific cleavage of RsaI endonuclease (resulting a high selectivity) make the present method a promising assay for miRNA with high sensitivity and selectivity.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

**Chemicals.** Graphite powder (99.998%, 325 mesh) was from Alfa Aesar; 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), 1,4-dithiothreitol (DTT), tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris), and bovine serum albumin (BSA) were from Sigma–Aldrich and used as received. 1X NEBuffer 4 solution (20 mM Tris–acetate, 50 mM potassium acetate, 10 mM magnesium acetate, and 1 mM DTT, pH 7.9) and Escherichia coli restriction endonuclease RsaI were purchased from New England BioLabs. The RsaI endonuclease was stored in 10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4) containing 100 mM NaCl, 1 mM DTT, 0.1 mM EDTA, 200 μg/mL BSA, and 50% (v/v) glycerol. All solutions were prepared with doubly distilled water.

The oligonucleotides were purchased from BioSune Biological Engineering Technology Co. (Shanghai, China). The nucleic acids were purified by high-performance liquid chromatography and freeze-dried. The sequences of the oligonucleotides were summarized in Table 1.

**Preparation of GO.** GO was prepared by a modified Hummers method, starting from graphite powder (99.998%, 325 mesh). The detailed procedures have been reported in our previous works. The prepared GO sheets are rippled and resemble crumpled silk veil waves (transmission electron microscopy image in Figure S1a of the Supporting Information) with thickness of ~0.8 nm (atomic force microscopy image in Figure S1b of the Supporting Information). The Fourier transform IR spectrum exhibits the characteristic vibrations of GO (Figure S1c, Supporting Information), including the stretching mode of O–H (~3440 cm⁻¹), the stretching vibrations of C=O (1750 cm⁻¹), sp² hybridized C=C (1640 cm⁻¹), and C–OH groups (1233 cm⁻¹), and the deformation mode of C–O groups (1060 cm⁻¹). The GO has a C/O ratio of ~1.8, which was estimated by integrating the C1s peak at 284.6 eV and the O1s peak at 532.7 eV in the X-ray photoelectron spectrum (Figure S1d, Supporting Information). Deconvoluting the C1s peak shows that this peak contains C–C (~284.6 eV), C–O (~286.8 eV), C=C (~287.6 eV), and O–C=O (~288.9 eV) elements (Figure S1e, Supporting Information). These results suggest that the single layer GO has been synthesized in our experimental conditions.

**Fluorescent miRNA Assays.** Fluorescence spectra were collected with a Cary Eclipse fluorescence spectrophotometer (Varian) equipped with a xenon lamp excitation source. The FAM was excited at 494 nm, and the fluorescence emission spectra were recorded from 510 to 700 nm. The measurements were performed in 1X NEBuffer 4 solution at 37 °C and pH 7.9. In a typical assay, 10 μL of GO dispersion (100 μg/mL)
Preparation of Cellular Extracts. The preparation of cellular extracts was conducted according to a reported method.30 Briefly, ~106 cells were washed once with PBS and twice with buffer A (10 mM HEPES, pH 7.9 at 4 °C, 1.5 mM Mg(NO3)2, 10 mM KNO3, and 0.5 mM DTT). The cell pellet was suspended in buffer B (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 25% (v/v) glycerol, 0.42 M NaNO3, 1.5 mM Mg(NO3)2, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 0.5 mM DTT)/0.1% Nonidet P-40 (20 μL). After incubating for 15 min on ice, the lysed cellular suspension was briefly mixed on a vortex and microcentrifuged for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was diluted with 80 μL of buffer C (20 mM HEPES (pH 7.9), 20% (v/v) glycerol, 0.1 M KNO3, 0.2 mM EDTA, 0.5 mM PMSF, and 0.5 mM DTT) and stored at −80 °C. The RNA was routinely assessed by gel electrophoresis and UV-visible spectrophotometry following reported procedures.45 The samples of cDNA were obtained by nested reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We first evaluated the fluorescence quenching ability of GO by examining the change of fluorescent signal of FAM-labeled P1 in the presence of GO. In the absence of GO in the solution, P1 exhibits a strong characteristic fluorescence signal of FAM at ~520 nm31,46 (curve a in Figure 2A). Upon the addition of GO into solution, we found that the fluorescence of FAM was rapidly quenched by GO in a GO concentration-dependent manner (curves b–g in Figure 2A and the inset). Note that the fluorescence signals of GO do not affect the fluorescence features of FAM because the fluorescence signals of GO alone are very low under our experimental conditions (curve h in Figure 2A). The fluorescence spectra of GO at different concentrations (0–12 μg/mL) are depicted in Figure S2 of the Supporting Information. The fluorescence intensity of FAM decreased with the increase of the GO concentration (the concentration of P1 was kept at 10 nM) and was almost
completely quenched at GO concentration of 10 μg/mL (the inset of Figure 2A), indicating good fluorescence quenching ability of our prepared GO. Kinetic studies showed that the fluorescence quenching of GO is fairly fast because the fluorescence intensity decreased rapidly to ~28% of the initial intensity in ~1 min and was completely quenched within 2 min (Figure 2B). However, no time-dependent fluorescence changes were observed for P1 in solution in the absence of GO (not shown here), implying that the time-dependent changes depicted in Figure 2B originate from the fluorescence quenching of GO. The good quenching ability of GO possibly arose from the strong hydrophobic adsorption of P1 at the GO surface and highly efficient long-range energy transfer from FAM to GO.

Next, we studied the fluorescence recovery of the completely quenched FAM-labeled P1 upon the addition of the target and Rsfl endonuclease into the system. Introducing the target (T1, 10 pM) into the solution results in a slight recovery of the fluorescence intensity of the quenched FAM (curve b in Figure 3A). For better comparison, the fluorescence spectrum of P1 on the GO surface was also depicted in Figure 3A, curve a. This is attributed to T1 hybridizing with P1 to form the duplex P1/T1, leading the FAM label to be away from the GO surface due to the weaker interaction between GO and the duplex caused by the shielding effects of nucleobases within the double-helix structure.48,50 However, the enhanced fluorescence intensity is still very low, implying that nearly no P1 is released to the solution. Otherwise, the fluorescence will be fully recovered. The duplex on the GO surface is stable because its fluorescence intensity has only a minute change in 3 h (Figure S3, Supporting Information). Note that, to retain the duplex of the target and the FAM-labeled P1 on the GO surface stably, the number of the bases in the binding region of the probe should be at least 1–2 times of that in its sensing region.49 The numbers of bases in the binding and sensing regions of our designed probe P1 are 44 and 22, respectively. The fluorescence intensity can be greatly recovered by adding Rsfl endonuclease to the solution (0.2 U/mL) (curve c in Figure 3A) because Rsfl cleaved the duplex (P1/T1) between the bases T and A in the 5′-GTAC-3′ sequence and released FAM into the solution. The fluorescence intensity increases further with prolonged cleavage time (curves d–h in Figure 3A) and tends to be saturated after 2 h (the inset of Figure 3A) because almost all of the P1/T1 duplex at the GO surface has been cleaved by Rsfl endonuclease and released into solution. The fluorescence intensity of FAM also depends on the amount of endonuclease added to the solution. It rises with the increase of the concentration of endonuclease in solution and reaches a relative stable value when the endonuclease concentration is higher than 0.2 U/mL (2 h cleavage) (Figure 3B).

To validate the observed fluorescence recovery ascribed to the release of the FAM fluorophore from the GO surface caused by cleaving the P1/T1 duplex with Rsfl endonuclease, three control experiments were further designed and performed. One control was made by cleaving the P1/T1 duplex with Rsfl endonuclease in buffer conditions without Mg2+ ions, since Rsfl endonuclease is a Mg2+-dependent glycoprotein and requires Mg2+ ions to be activated.38 As shown in Figure S4 of the Supporting Information, the fluorescence of the P1/T1 duplex does not increase after 2 h cleavage with Rsfl as the endonuclease does not work effectively in the absence of Mg2+ ions (Figure S4a, Supporting Information). The second control was made by heating the Rsfl endonuclease at 90 °C for 10 min to undergo an irreversible inactivation. We find that the activity of heat-treated Rsfl endonuclease is reduced greatly because the fluorescence intensities are not observed to increase upon the 2 h cleavage of the inactivated Rsfl endonuclease (Figure S4b, Supporting Information). The third control was made by recording the fluorescence changes of the P1-functionalized GO with cleavage of Rsfl endonuclease (2 h) in the absence of the target T1. The results indicate that the interaction of P1 with Rsfl causes almost no fluorescence change in the solution (Figure S4c, Supporting Information). These results confirm that the observed fluorescence recovery depicted in Figure 3A originates only from the cleavage of the resulting P1/T1 duplex with Rsfl endonuclease.

The recovery of the fluorescence intensity also depends on target (T1) concentrations. The intensity increases with the concentration of T1 in solution (Figure 3C) at lower concentration and then levels off at higher concentration (Figure 3D). The plot of the background-subtracted fluorescence intensity versus the logarithm value of T1 concentration displays a linear relationship in the range from 0.02 to 100 pM with a limit of detection (LOD) of ~3.0 fM (at

Figure 3. (A) Fluorescence spectra of the P1/T1 duplex at the GO surface after cleavage by Rsfl endonuclease for (b–h) 0, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5, and 3.0 h, respectively. Curve (a) depicts the fluorescence spectrum of FAM-labeled P1 at the GO surface. The inset shows the dependence of the fluorescence intensity of the duplex on the cleavage time. The concentration of Rsfl in solution is 0.2 U/mL. (B) Dependence of the fluorescence intensity on Rsfl concentration. The cleavage time for each Rsfl concentration was 2 h. (C) The background-subtracted fluorescence spectra of the P1/T1 duplex after 2 h cleavage with the endonuclease (0.2 U/mL). The duplex was formed by hybridizing P1 at the GO surface with (a–o) 0, 0.01, 0.02, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1.0, 2.0, 5.0, 10, 20, 50, and 100 pM T1, respectively. (D) Dependence of the background-subtracted fluorescence intensity (I−I0) on the target concentration. The data were acquired from panel C. The inset shows the plot of (I−I0) vs the logarithm of the target concentration. I is the fluorescence intensity of the system at the respective concentrations of the target, and I0 corresponds to the fluorescence intensity of the system in the absence of both the target and Rsfl endonuclease. Error bars were based on five measurements.
a signal/noise of 3) (inset, Figure 3D). Although the LOD value is much higher than that based on target-assisted isothermal exponential amplification coupled with fluorescent DNA-scaffolded silver nanocluster (∼2 nM), it is comparable with the miRNA detection method based on the GO fluorescence quenching combined with the isothermal strand-displacement polymerase reaction (2.1 fm) and is superior to the GO-based premixing detection system (100 pM). Meanwhile, the LOD is competitive with other sensitive miRNA detection strategies. A series of 10 repetitive measurements with 10 pM T1 was used for evaluating the precision of the proposed method, and a relative standard deviation (RSD) of ∼3.5% was obtained, demonstrating good reproducibility of the assay. These results indicate that the proposed method can be used for the sensitive miR-126 assay.

Having studied fluorescence recovery of the quenched FAM-labeled P1 at the GO surface by the hybridization with the target and cleavage by RsaI endonuclease, we evaluated the selectivity of the proposed method toward the detection of miR-126. We designed four kinds of mismatched target sequences including a single-base (T2, the mismatched base is out of the RsaI-recognized sequence; T5 the mismatched base is in the RsaI-recognized sequence), two-base (T3), and three-base (T4) mismatched targets (refer to Table 1 for the sequences of these mismatched targets). After FAM-labeled P1 was hybridized with the respective mismatched target at the GO surface for 1 h and then cleaved by 0.2 U/mL RsaI for 2 h, the fluorescence spectra were recorded, and the fluorescence intensities at 520 nm were compared with that obtained with perfect matched target (T1). As shown in Figure 4A, the proposed assay displays high sequence specificity to discriminate the perfectly complementary target from even single-base mismatched strands. The fluorescence intensities (note that the spectra in Figure 4A have been corrected with respective background, which were shown in the inset. The background referred to the spectrum of the duplex of the FAM-labeled P1 with the correspondent mismatched target without the cleavage of RsaI) for T2, T3, and T4 were ∼35%, 23%, and 6%, respectively, of that for the perfect matched T1 target (curves a–d in Figure 4A and also refer to Figure 4B). For T5, almost no fluorescence intensity change could be detected before and after the cleavage by RsaI endonuclease (curve e in Figure 4A and Figure 4B) because RsaI cannot cleave the P1/T5 duplex due to a mismatched base in the RsaI-recognized sequence. These results suggest that the proposed approach with high sequence specificity has a potential application in single nucleotide polymorphism analysis.

The sequence specificity of the assay was further evaluated by four artificially synthesized cDNAs of miR-141 (up-expressed in prostate cancer cells, AsPC-1), miR-21 (highly expressed in human breast cancer cells, MD-MB231), let-7d (conservative among human cells), and miR-122 (specifically expresses in the liver and is an important component of gene regulatory networks in the liver for normal hepatocyte function, playing a key role in the regulation of hepatitis C virus replication). For better comparison, the FAM-labeled P1 at the GO surface was hybridized for 1 h with the cDNA of miR-141, miR-21, let-7d, and miR-122 (each at the concentration of 10 pM), respectively, and then was cleaved by 0.2 U/mL RsaI endonuclease for 2 h. After that, the fluorescence signal was recorded. We find that there is nearly negligible fluorescent change in the presence of the cDNA of miR-141, miR-21, let-7d, and miR-122 (not shown here), confirming that the specificity of the method is high enough to discriminate the specific target from the different types of miRNAs and shows the significant advantage of high selectivity.

We finally applied the developed method to estimate miR-126 relative expressions in several different types of cells. We prepared a primary cell lysate sample (HMVEC) and three lung cancer cell lysate samples (H226, A549, and H358). The expressions of miR-126 in four different cell lysate samples were estimated by the proposed method. As depicted in Figure 5, the expression of miR-126 in H226, A549, and H358 is much lower than that in HMVEC and has only ∼15%, 6%, and 5%, respectively, of that in HMVEC (note that the expressions of miR-126 in H226, A549, and H358 were normalized with the expression of miR-126 in H226, A549, and H358 respectively, and then was cleaved by 0.2 U/mL RsaI endonuclease for 2 h. After that, the fluorescence signal was recorded. We find that there is nearly negligible fluorescent change in the presence of the cDNA of miR-141, miR-21, let-7d, and miR-122 (not shown here), confirming that the specificity of the method is high enough to discriminate the specific target from the different types of miRNAs and shows the significant advantage of high selectivity.

We finally applied the developed method to estimate miR-126 relative expressions in several different types of cells. We prepared a primary cell lysate sample (HMVEC) and three lung cancer cell lysate samples (H226, A549, and H358). The expressions of miR-126 in four different cell lysate samples were estimated by the proposed method. As depicted in Figure 5, the expression of miR-126 in H226, A549, and H358 is much lower than that in HMVEC and has only ∼15%, 6%, and 5%, respectively, of that in HMVEC (note that the expressions of miR-126 in H226, A549, and H358 were normalized with the expression of miR-126 in HMVEC). The estimation of the miR-126 relative expressions in different types of cells using the developed method. The expression of miR-126 in H226, A549, and H358 was normalized with that in HMVEC cells. We obtained the normalized values by comparing the respective fluorescence intensities of H226, A549, and H358 cell lysates with that of HMVEC cells. The expression of miR-126 in MDA-MB231 cells was normalized with that in MCF-10A cells. The value was obtained by comparing the fluorescence intensities of MDA-MB231 cells with that from MCF-10A cells. Error bars were based on five experiments.

Figure 4. (A) Background-subtracted fluorescence spectra of FAM-labeled P1 at the GO surface after hybridization with 10 pM of (a) perfect matched (T1), (b) single-base (T2, the mismatched base is out of the RsaI-recognized sequence), (c) two-base (T3), (d) three-base (T4), and (e) single-base mismatched targets (T5, the mismatched base is in the RsaI-recognized sequence), respectively, and 2 h cleavage by RsaI endonuclease (0.2 U/mL). (B) Dependence of the normalized fluorescence intensity on the number of mismatched bases in the target sequence. Inset in panel A shows fluorescence emission spectra of the duplex of 1 nM FAM-labeled P1 at the GO surface with 10 pM of (a′) T1, (b′) T2, (c′) T3, (d′) T4, and (e′) T5, respectively (without cleavage by RsaI endonuclease). Error bars were based on five measurements.

Figure 5. Estimation of the miR-126 relative expressions in different types of cells using the developed method. The expression of miR-126 in H226, A549, and H358 cells was normalized with that in HMVEC cells. We obtained the normalized values by comparing the respective fluorescence intensities of H226, A549, and H358 cell lysates with that of HMVEC cells. The expression of miR-126 in MDA-MB231 cells was normalized with that in MCF-10A cells. The value was obtained by comparing the fluorescence intensities of MDA-MB231 cells with that from MCF-10A cells. Error bars were based on five experiments.
that in the HMVEC cells), agreeing well with those obtained from RT-PCR and the northern blotting assay. This conclusion is also consistent with those reports that the decrease in miR-126 expression can lead to the development of lung cancer.

We also prepared the cell lysate samples of human normal breast epithelial cells (MCF-10A) and human breast cancer cells (MDA-MB231), and the miR-126 expression levels in these cells were estimated using the developed method to further evaluate the potential use of the present method in detecting the expression of miRNA in the cells. The assay results show that the expression of miR-126 in MDA-MB231 cells is only ∼10% of that in MCF-10A cells (Figure 5; note that the expression of miR-126 in MDA-MB231 cells was normalized with that in MCF-10A cells), agreeing well with the previous report that miR-126 is a metastasis suppressor miRNA in human breast cancer and can reduce breast tumor growth. These results indicate that the proposed assay method can also be used for estimating the miRNA expression in cells with good reliability.

In response to these previously reported methods for miRNA detection, our method operates via detecting the recovered fluorescence signal, which is a combined result of the specific hybridization and the site-specific endonuclease cleavage; thus, the detection should be relatively insensitive to false signals arising due to the nonspecific adsorption of interferants. In addition to conventional methods and those newly emerging nanotechnology-based methods, our approach offers interesting possibilities to become a new and fast method for disease diagnosis. This novel approach could provide comprehensive and dependable information for the early detection of miRNA-related cancer.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have described a new method for specifically detecting miRNA by coupling GO fluorescence quenching with site-specific cleavage of an endonuclease. The assay is based on the fluorescence recovery of the FAM-labeled probe, which was assembled on the GO surface, after it hybridized with the specific target (miR-126) and was cleaved by RsaI endonuclease. The extraordinarily high quenching efficiency of GO and the high site-specific cleavage of RsaI make the method a promising method for a miRNA assay with high sensitivity and selectivity. This assay can determine as low as ∼3.0 fM (at a signal/noise of 3) miR-126 with a linear range of 4 orders of magnitude and has an ability to discriminate the target sequence from even a single-base mismatched sequence and other miRNA sequences. In addition, the method can also be used for rapid estimation of the miR-126 expressions in several different types of cells. The advantage of this assay is that it is capable of functioning in complex samples and of avoiding false signals arising due to the nonspecific adsorption of interferants. This assay can be a potential tool for selective analysis of miRNAs (biomarkers) in tissues or cells, and it supplies valuable information for biomedical research and early clinical diagnosis.
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